#### Natural Language Understanding Kyunghyun Cho, NYU & U. Montreal

### Fun Trivia



### HISTORY OF MT RESEARCH

**Topics:** Two Most Important Moments in MT Research

In 1949: Warren Weaver's Memorandum < Translation >

• In 1991-1993: Statistical MT from IBM





Vincent (left) and Stephen Della Pietra





#### Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences New York University



".. it is very tempting to say that a book written in Chinese is simply a book written in English which was coded into the "Chinese code." If we have useful methods for solving almost any cryptographic problem, may it not be that with proper interpretation we already have useful methods for translation?"

- Weaver (1949)

Warren Weaver Hall



#### Warren Weaver, 1894-1978

#### The Mathematics of Statistical Machine Translation: Parameter Estimation

Peter F. Brown\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center

Vincent J. Della Pietra\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center Stephen A. Della Pietra\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center

Robert L. Mercer<sup>\*</sup> IBM T.J. Watson Research Center





#### Robert L. Mercer (Hedge Fund Magnate\*)

251 Mercer Street New York, N.Y. 10012-1185 \* NY Times

#### The Mathematics of Statistical Machine Translation: Parameter Estimation

Peter F. Brown\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center

Vincent J. Della Pietra\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center Stephen A. Della Pietra\* IBM T.J. Watson Research Center

Robert L. Mercer<sup>\*</sup> IBM T.J. Watson Research Center





#### Peter F. Brown

Maybe, there is something about CIMS, NYU with machine translation...

#### if you find a double della-pietra i'll be super impressed :)





## Warning



"It will be all too easy for our somewhat artificial prosperity to collapse overnight when it is realized that the use of a few exciting words like information, entropy, redundancy, do not solve all our problems"

#### - Shannon (1956)

1956	IRE TRANSACTIONS-INFORMATION THEORY	3	
*		*	
			(
	The Bandwagon		
	CLAUDE E. SHANNON		



#### Claude Shannon, 1916-2001

#### Machine Translation



**Topics:** Statistical Machine Translation

- $\log p(f|e) = \log p(e|f) + \log p(f)$ 
  - Translation model:  $\log p(e|f)$ 
    - Fit it with parallel corpora
  - Language model:  $\log p(f)$ 
    - Fit it with monolingual corpora



• The whole task  $\log p(f|e)$  is **conditional language modelling**.

**Topics:** Statistical Machine Translation - In Reality

• 
$$\log p(f|e) \approx \sum_{\substack{n=1 \ n \in I}}^{N} f_n(e, f) + C$$
  
• Log-linear model

- Feature function  $f_n(e, f)$
- Steps:

(1) Experts engineer useful features (2) Use a simple log-linear model (3) Use a strong, external language model



### Neural Machine Translation

#### SPAIN IN 1997



"We propose .. Recursive Hetero-Associative Memory which .. may be applied to learn general translations from examples in which different sentences may have the same translation."

– Forcada & Ñeco, 1997





"Based on these encouraging performances, future work dealing with more complex limited-domain translations seems to be feasible. However, the size of the neural nets required for such applications (and consequently, the learning time) can be prohibitive"

(Castaño&Casacuberta, 1997)



(Forcada&Ñeco, 1997; Castaño&Casacuberta, 1997; Kalchbrenner&Blunsom, 2013; Sutskever et al., 2014; Cho et al., 2014)

**Topics:** Sequence-to-Sequence Learning — Encoder

• Encoder (1) I-of-K coding of source words (2) Continuous-space representation  $s_{t'} = W^{\top} x_{t'}$ , where  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times d}$ (3) Recursively read words  $h_t = f(h_{t-1}, s_t)$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, T$ 



**Topics:** Sequence-to-Sequence Learning — Decoder

- Decoder
  - (1)Recursively update the memory

$$z_{t'} = f(z_{t'-1}, u_{t'-1}, h_T)$$

(2)Compute the next word prob.  $p(u_{t'}|u_{< t'}) \propto \exp(R_{u_{t'}}^{\top} z_{t'} + b_{u_{t'}})$ 

(3)Sample a next word

•Beam search is a good idea



**Topics:** Sequence-to-Sequence Learning — Issue

- This is quite an unrealistic model.
- Why?

"You can't cram the meaning of a whole %&!\$# sentence into a single \$&!#\* vector!" Ray Mooney





- **Topics:** Attention-based Model
- Encoder: Bidirectional RNN
  - A set of annotation vectors
    - $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_T\}$
- Attention-based Decoder (1)Compute attention weights  $\alpha_{t',t} \propto \exp(e(z_{t'-1}, u_{t'-1}, h_t))$ (2) Weighted-sum of the annotation vectors
  - $c_{t'} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_{t',t} h_t$

(3) Use  $c_{t'}$  instead of  $h_T$ 



**Topics:** Attention-based Model

- Encoder: Bidirectional RNN
  - A set of annotation vectors
    - $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_T\}$
- Attention-based Decoder (1)Compute attention weights  $\alpha_{t',t} \propto \exp(e(z_{t'-1}, u_{t'-1}, h_t))$ (2)Weighted-sum of the annotation vectors  $c_{t'} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_{t',t} h_t$

(3)Use  $c_{t'}$  instead of  $h_T$ 



**Topics:** Attention-based Model

• How far does the attention mechanism get us?

	Model	All	Rel. In
	RNNencdec-30	13.93	
	<b>RNNsearch-30</b>	21.50	5
•	RNNencdec-50	17.82	
	RNNsearch-50	26.75	۲ 5
	<b>RNNsearch-50</b> *	28.45	5
	Moses	33.30	

#### nprovement

#### 64.3%

#### 50.1% **59.7%**

**Topics:** Very large target vocabulary (Jean et al., 2015)

- Where are we spending most time?  $p(u_{t'}|u_{< t'}) \propto \exp[R_{u_{t'}}^{\top} z_{t'} + b_{u_{t'}})$ • Complexity: O(|V|d)
- Where are we spending most memory?  $p(u_{t'}|u_{< t'}) \propto \exp(R_{u_{t'}}^{\top} z_{t'} + b_{u_{t'}})$ 
  - Complexity: O(|V|d)
- |V| is **huge**, and we must compute it more than twenty times per sentence pairs ...



e = (Economic, growth, has, slowed, down, in, recent, years, .)

Word Ssample

Word Probability **d** 

Recurrent State

**Topics:** Very large target vocabulary (Jean et al., 2015)

 (Biased) Importance Sampling without Sampling  $p(y_t \mid y_{< t}, x) = \frac{\exp\left\{w_t^\top \phi\left(y_{t-1}, z_t, c_t\right)\right\}}{\sum_{k: \mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbf{V}} \exp\left\{w_k^\top \phi\left(y_{t-1}, z_t, c_t\right)\right\}}$  $\approx \frac{\exp\left\{w_t^{\top}\phi\left(y_{t-1}, z_t, c_t\right)\right\}}{\sum_{k:\mathbf{v}_k \in \mathbf{V}'} \exp\left\{w_k^{\top}\phi\left(y_{t-1}, z_t, c_t\right)\right\}}$ 





e = (Economic, growth, has, slowed, down, in, recent, years, .)

**Topics:** Very large target vocabulary (Jean et al., 2015)

- How we do choose  $V^{\prime}$ ?
  - Training Time:
    - ${\ }$   ${\ }$  Divide a training corpus into D subsets
    - Build a vocabulary V' for each subset separately
  - Test Time:
    - K-most frequent words
    - K' words that are aligned  $|_V$  to source words

$$V \left| \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \checkmark \\ w_{1}^{1}, w_{2}^{1}, w_{3}^{1}, \dots, w_{d}^{1} \\ w_{1}^{2}, w_{2}^{2}, w_{3}^{2}, \dots, w_{d}^{2} \\ w_{1}^{3}, w_{2}^{3}, w_{3}^{3}, \dots, w_{d}^{3} \\ \vdots \\ w_{1}^{n}, w_{2}^{n}, w_{3}^{n}, \dots, w_{d}^{n} \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} w_1^1, w_2^1, w_3^1, \dots, w_d^1 \\ w_1^3, w_2^3, w_3^3, \dots, w_d^3 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \swarrow \\ & & \\ & \\ &$ 

**Topics:** Very large target vocabulary (Jean et al., 2015)

(a) <b>E</b> i	$nglish{ o}Fren$	ch (WM1	Г-14)	(b) <b>Engli</b>	sh→German (WMT-15)	(c) English→Czech (WMT-15)		
	NMT(A)	Google	P-SMT	Model	Note	Model	Note	
NMT	32.68	30.6*		24.8	Neural MT	<b>18.3</b>		
+Cand	33.28	-	37.03•	24.0	U.Edinburgh, Syntactic SMT LIMSI/KIT	17.6	CU, Phrase SMT	
+UNK	33.99	32.7°		22.8	U.Edinburgh, Phrase SMT	17.4	U.Edinburgh, Phrase SMT	
+Ens	36.71	36.9°		22.7	KIT, Phrase SMT	16.1	U.Edinburgh, Syntactic SMT	

Topics: Subword-level Machine Translation (Sennrich et al., 2015)

Character n-grams (byte pair encoding) [+ Frequent words]

system	sentence	system	sentence
source	health research institutes	source	Mirzayeva
reference	Gesundheitsforschungsinstitute	reference	Мирзаева (Mirz
WDict	Forschungsinstitute	WDict	Mirzayeva $\rightarrow 1$
C2-50k	Folrslchlunlgslinlstlitlutliolneln	C2-50k	$Mi rz ay ev a \rightarrow 1$
BPE-60k	Gesundheitslforschlungsinstitulten	BPE-60k	Mirzlayeva $\rightarrow$ ]
BPE-J90k	Gesundheitslforschlungsinlstitute	BPE-J90k	Mir za yeva $\rightarrow$ ]
source	asinine situation	source	rakfisk
reference	dumme Situation	reference	ракфиска (rakf
WDict	asinine situation $\rightarrow$ UNK $\rightarrow$ asinine	WDict	rakfisk $\rightarrow$ UNK
C2-50k	as $ in in e situation \rightarrow As  in en si tu at  io n$	C2-50k	ra kf is k $ ightarrow$ ра к
BPE-60k	as $ in ine $ situation $\rightarrow$ A $ in line $ Situation	BPE-60k	rak f isk $\rightarrow \pi pa$
BPE-J90K	as $ in ine $ situation $\rightarrow$ As $ in in- $ Situation	BPE-J90k	$rak f isk \rightarrow pak $

Table 6: English $\rightarrow$ German translation examples. "" marks subword boundaries.

Table 7: English $\rightarrow$ Russian translation examples. "" marks subword boundaries.

zaeva)  $UNK \rightarrow Mirzayeva$ Мирзаева (Milrzlaelva) Мир|за|ева (Mir|zaleva) Мир|за|ева (Mir|zaleva)

fiska)  $K \rightarrow rakfisk$  $k \phi |uc| \kappa (ra|kf|is|k)$ фиск (pralflisk) фиска (raklfliska)

Topics: Subword-level Machine Translation (Sennrich et al., 2015)

Character n-grams (byte pair encoding) [+ Frequent words]

					DLEU			
			vocab	oulary	newste	st2014	newste	st2015
name	segmentation	shortlist	source	target	single	ens-4	single	ens-4
syntax-base	d (Sennrich and	Haddow, 202	15)		22.6	-	24.4	-
WUnk	-	_	300 000	500 000	17.1	18.8	19.9	21.7
WDict	-	-	300 000	500 000	18.1	19.9	21.1	23.1
MDict	morfessor	-	300 000	500 000	18.1	20.0	20.5	22.7
C2-3/500k	char-bigrams	3/500 000	310 000	510000	18.4	20.3	21.8	23.0
C2-50k	char-bigrams	50 000	60 000	60 000	18.7	20.7	21.9	23.9
C3-50k	char-trigrams	50 000	100 000	100 000	18.9	20.5	21.5	23.9
BPE-60k	BPE	_	60 000	60 000	18.6	20.8	21.1	23.6
BPE-J90k	BPE (joint)	-	90 000	90 000	19.4	20.8	22.2	23.7

Table 2: English $\rightarrow$ German translation performance (BLEU) on newstest2014 and newstest2015 test sets. Ens-4: ensemble of 4 models. Best NMT system in bold.

DIDI

Topics: Subword-level Language Modelling (Kim et al., 2015; Ling et al., 2015)

• Directly processing characters





**Topics:** Very large target vocabulary (Jean et al., 2015)

(d) German → English (WMT-15)			(e) Czecl	h $ ightarrow$ English (WMT-15)
Model	Note		Model	Note
29.3	U.Edinburgh, Phrase SMT		26.2	JHU, Phrase SMT
29.1	KIT, Phrase SMT		24.5	U.Edinburgh, Syntax SMT
28.9 28.7	IHU. Phrase SMT		23.8	Neural MT
28.7	U.Edinburgh, Syntax SMT		20.4	Dublin, Phrase SMT
27.9	Neural MT		14.5	Illinois

Is neural MT particularly weak when translating to English?

#### (f) Finnish $\rightarrow$ English (WMT-15)

Model	Note
17.9	U.Edinburgh, Syntax SMT
17.6	Dublin, Rule-based SMT
16.4	Uppsala, Phrase SMT
15.9	Prompsit Language Engineering
15.7	Illinois
13.6	Neural MT

**Topics:** Statistical Machine Translation - Recap

• 
$$\log p(f|e) \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(e, f) + C$$
  
• Log-linear model

- Feature function  $f_n(e, f)$
- Steps:

(1) Experts engineer useful features (2) Use a simple log-linear model

(3) Use a strong, external language model

Parallel Corpora Mono Feature Corpora 11



**Topics:** Incorporating Target Language Model (Gulcehre&Firat et al., 2015)

 Shallow Fusion: Log-Linear Interpolation between TM and LM  $\log p(y_t | y_{< t}, x) = \log p^{\text{TM}}(y_t | y_{< t}, x) + \beta \log p^{\text{LM}}(y_t | y_{< t})$ 



**Topics:** Incorporating Target Language Model (Gulcehre&Firat et al., 2015)

- Shallow Fusion: Log-Linear Interpolation between TM and LM  $\log p(y_t | y_{< t}, x) = \log p^{\mathrm{TM}}(y_t | y_{< t}, x) + \beta \log p^{\mathrm{LM}}(y_t | y_{< t})$
- Advantages:
  - Single tunable parameter  $\beta$
- Disadvantages:
  - Is is really linear?



Topics: Incorporating Target Language Model (Gulcehre&Firat et al., 2015)

 Deep Fusion: Nonlinear interpolation between LM and TM  $p(y_t|y_{< t}, x) \propto \exp(y_t^{\top}(W_o f_{o, \theta}(z_t^{\mathrm{LM}}, g_t \cdot z_t^{\mathrm{TM}}, y_{t-1}, c_t) + b_o))$ 



**Topics:** Incorporating Target Language Model (Gulcehre&Firat et al., 2015)

- Deep Fusion: Nonlinear interpolation between LM and TM  $p(y_t|y_{< t}, x) \propto \exp(y_t^\top (W_o f_{o,\theta}(z_t^{\mathrm{LM}}, g_t \cdot z_t^{\mathrm{TM}}, y_{t-1}, c_t) + b_o))$
- Advantages
  - No linearity assumed: the core philosophy of deep learning
  - Context-Dependent Fusion
- Disadvantages
  - Works only with a continuous-space LM: NLM or RNN-LM
  - Computationally demanding (comparatively to shallow fusion)

**Topics:** Deep Fusion of Target Language Model (Gulcehre&Firat et al., 2015)

(a) German/Czech→English (WMT-15)								
	De-En	Cs-En	(b) <b>T</b>	(b) Turkish—English (IM/SI T_2014)				
Neural MT	23.61	21.89					/ — 0011	
+Shallow	23.69	22.18		tst2011	tst2012	tst2013	Test 2014	
+Deep	24.00	22.36	Previous Best	18.83	18.93	18.70	_	
(b) Chinoso*->English		Neural MT	18.40	18.77	19.86	18.64		
	enMT-15)	1511	+Shallow	18.48	18.80	19.87	18.66	
SMS/Chat   CTS			+Deep	20.17	20.23	21.34	20.56	
Phrase SMT	14.73	21.68						
Hiero SMT	14.71	21.43						
Neural MT	17.36	23.59						
+Shallow	16.42	22.83						
+Deep	17.64	23.5						

#### Neural MT is comparable to, or better than, phrase-based MT

- Multi-task learning for multiple language translation (Dong et al., 2015)
- Neural Machine Translation of Rare Words with Subword Units (Sennrich et al., 2015)
- Variable-Length Word Encodings for Neural Translation Models (Chitnis&DeNero, 2015)
- Addressing the rare word problem in neural machine translation (Luong et al., 2015)
- Effective Approaches to Attention-based Neural Machine Translation (Luong et al., 2015)
- and the list continues...

.. an extremely promising approach to MT through .. deep learning ..

Advances in natural language processing by Hirschberg & Manning (2015)

ch et al., 2015) &DeNero, 2015) g et al., 2015) .uong et al., 2015)

#### What next?



### MULTILINGUALTRANSLATION



Dong et al. (2015)

### TOWARD DISCOURSE-LEVEL MT



Hierarchical Recurrent Encoder–Decoder (HRED) by Sordoni et al. (2015)

### Neural MT beyond MT

- Memory Networks (Weston et al., 2014) •
- Neural Turing Machines (Graves et al., 2014) •
- Pointer Networks (Vinyals et al., 2015)
- Grammar as a Foreign Languages (Vinyals et al., 2014) •
- Teaching machines to read and comprehend (Hermann et al., 2015)
- Reasoning about Entailment with Neural Attention (Rocktaschel et al., 2015)
- and the list continues...



Any supervised learning task is a translation task





#### Going beyond Natural Languages Is a human language special?

### BEYOND NATURAL LANGUAGES

**Topics:** Beyond Natural Languages — Image Caption Generation

• Task: conditional language modelling

p(Two, dolphins, are, diving)



- Encoder: convolutional network
  - Pretrained as a classifier or autoencoder
- Decoder: recurrent neural network
- •RNN Language model
- With attention mechanism (Xu et al., 2015)



### BEYOND NATURAL LANGUAGES

#### **Topics:** Beyond Natural Languages — Image Caption Generation (Examples)



### BEYOND NATURAL LANGUAGES

#### **Topics:** Beyond Natural Languages — Image Caption Generation (Examples)





- **Topics:** Beyond Natural Languages Attention Models
- End-to-End Speech Recognition (Chorowski et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2015)
- Video Description Generation (Yao et al., 2015)
- Discrete Optimization (Vinyals et al., 2015)
- •and many more... (Cho et al., 2015) and references therein









# **NYU** GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS & SCIENCE



Department of Computer Science

- Ph.D. Programme: Application dl. 12th December
- Center for Data Science
  - M.Sc. Programme in Data Science: Application dl. 4th Februrary

#### COURANT INSTI MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

### Teaching Machines to Read, Comprehend and Answer

Based on (Hermann et al., 2015; Blunsom, 2015)

**Topics:** Teaching machines to read and comprehend

#### CNN article:

- Document The BBC producer allegedly struck by Jeremy Clarkson will not press charges against the "Top Gear" host, his lawyer said Friday. Clarkson, who hosted one of the most-watched television shows in the world, was dropped by the BBC Wednesday after an internal investigation by the British broadcaster found he had subjected producer Oisin Tymon "to an unprovoked physical and verbal attack." ...
  - Query Producer X will not press charges against Jeremy Clarkson, his lawyer says.

Answer Oisin Tymon

**Topics:** Teaching machines to read and comprehend $- Deep \ LSTM \ Reader$ 

- Document Reader
- $h_t = f(h_{t-1}, w_t)$ , for all t = 1, ..., T
- •Summary of the document:  $h_T$
- •Query Reader
  - $z_t = f(z_{t-1}, w'_t)$ , for all  $t = 1, \dots, T'$
  - •Summary of the query:  $z_{T'}$
- Answer selection  $p(a|\{w_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{w_{t'}\}_{t'=1}^{T'}) = g_a(h_T, z_T)$



**Topics:** Teaching machines to read and comprehend — *Attentive Reader* 

- Document Reader: BiRNN
  - •Annotation vectors:  $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_T\}$
- •Query Reader:  $z_{T'}$
- Answer selection
  - •Attention mechanism  $lpha_t \propto e(h_t, z_{T'})$



•Answer selection:  $p(a | \{w_t\}_{t=1}^T, \{w_{t'}\}_{t'=1}^{T'}) = g_a(z_{T'}, c)$ 



**Topics:** Teaching machines to read and comprehend — *Attentive Reader* (Examples)

Visualize the attention

by *ent40*, *ent62* correspondent updated 9:49 pm et ,thu march 19,2015 (*ent62*) a *ent88* was killed in a parachute accident in *ent87*, *ent28*, near *ent66*, a *ent47* official told *ent62* on wednesday .he was identified thursday as special warfare operator 3rd class *ent49*,29, of *ent44*, *ent13*. *ent49* distinguished himself consistently throughout his career .he was the epitome of the quiet professional in all facets of his life , and he leaves an inspiring legacy of natural tenacity and focused commitment for posterity, "the *ent47* said in a news release .*ent49* joined the seals in september after enlisting in the *ent47* two years earlier .he was married, the *ent47* said .initial indications are the parachute failed to open during a jump as part of a training exercise .*ent49* was part of a *ent57* - based *ent88* team .

ent47 identifies deceased sailor as X, who leaves behind a wife

Connectionist Approach to Natural Language Understanding

The relevance of the connectionist model to natural language processing is clear enough. The traditional stratificational approach to parsing and generation (morphology, syntax, semantics) .. is not seriously accepted .. as a psychologically real model of how humans understand and communicate.

#### Hutchins and Somers (1992)





W. John Hutchins & Harold L. Somers

With a neural network, we don't encode any hard principles. The model infers the important structures, properties and relationships directly from raw data, in a way that allows it to best describe achieve its objective.



Hill (2015) https://medium.com/@felixhill/deep-consequences-fa823a588e97

### CONNECTIONIST NLP

**Topics:** No such thing as (universal) word embeddings

- Word embeddings are nothing but the first layer weight matrix
- Objective functions matter a lot (Hill et al., 2014; Hill et al., 2015)

	Skipgram	Glove	CW	FD	<b>B</b> a
teacher	vocational	student	student	elemente	ial'
	in-service	pupil	tutor	noling	proj
	college	university	ment	moom	
eaten	spoiled	cooked	With	ate	
	squeezed	0	thingeeled	meal	
	cooked	. ever	cooked	salads	
Britain	North	nermand	Luxembourg	$\overline{UK}$	
	rt hall	Kingdom	Belgium	British	
	<b>D</b> <sup>O</sup> areland	Great	Madrid	London	E

Rord embeddings!!!

лtV fessors teach eating eat baking UK British ngland

professor instructor trainer ate consumed tasted

> UK British America

#### **RNNsearch**

instructor professor educator ate consumed eat England UK Syria

### CONNECTIONIST NLP

**Topics:** Compositionality naturally arises



Cho et al. (2014)

### CONNECTIONIST NLP

**Topics:** Neural net will capture underlying structures

As long as the structures are needed to achieve the goal

